Reliable technique of Endoscopic Dacryocystorhinostomy – A Pilot Study

Sudip Kumar Das,1 Chiranjib Das,1 Amit Bikram Maiti,2 Ruma Guha,3 Subhendu Chowdhury1

ABSTRACT

Introduction
Over last two decades endoscopic dacryocystorhinostomy has gained popularity over external dacryocystorhinostomy for post-canicular obstruction. But the success rate is not very satisfactory. Our objective is to describe a technique where near 100% success can be achieved.

Materials and Methods
The study was conducted on 92 patients over 4 years and 6 months. The technique we describe involves creation of a large ostium, creation and apposition of nasal and lacrimal sac mucosal flaps. All the patients were under regular follow-up for 12 months after operation. We also compared our result with other techniques.

Results
The neo-ostium was well healed and free flow of normal saline was seen in 90 cases (97.83%) post-operatively. In one case there was ostium fibrosis and in another case granulations were seen.

Conclusion
For the past few decades many approaches have been tried for endoscopic dacryocystorhinostomy. But the long term success rates have not been satisfactory. Our technique of creating large stoma and proper mucosal apposition, when done properly gives near 100% success.
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Endonasal dacryocystorhinostomy was first described in 1893 by Caldwell, but was not commonly performed because of poor visibility and limited access to the endonasal anatomy.1 External dacryocystorhinostomy as described in 1904 by Toti, was the procedure of choice for the treatment of post-canicular stenosis in the 20th century.2 But with the advent of nasal endoscopes and relevant instruments there was renewed interest in the endonasal approaches in the early 1990s.3

McDonogh and Meiring described the first modern endonasal dacryocystorhinostomy in 1989.4 Over a period of time, success rate varied from 60% to 90 %.5 The main cause of failure of dacryocystorhinostomy both external and endoscopic was synechia and granulation formation at the stoma.6 Several methods have been tried to improve the patency using canalicular stents and application of mitomycin C. But both stent and mitomycin C have some disadvantages. Moreover neither of these two techniques gives 100% success.7 We describe an approach that involves preservation of nasal mucosa and the creation of anterior and posterior flaps of lacrimal sac in order to achieve fusion between nasal mucosa and lacrimal sac thereby bringing the recurrence rate to near zero.

Materials and Methods
A prospective study comprising of 92 cases was conducted in the Department of Otorhinolaryngology in a district medical college and hospital of West Bengal,
India, from January 2010 to June 2014.

**Preoperative Assessment**

The preoperative evaluation included an ophthalmologic examination with lacrimal duct probing and syringing to determine the site of obstruction. An otorhinolaryngological examination including nasal endoscopy and digital X-ray nose and paranasal sinuses occipitomental view or CT scan whichever needed in particular case, were done to exclude nasal pathology.

**Inclusion criteria**

Chronic dacryocystitis, Mucocele of lacrimal sac and Acute on chronic dacryocystitis.

**Exclusion criteria**

Epiphora due to entropion or ectropion, Presaccal obstruction, Lacrimal sac tumour, Patients who didn’t come for follow-up were excluded from the study.

**Surgical Technique**

Nose was prepared with cottonoids soaked in 4% lidocaine hydrochloride with 1:1000 epinephrine in a ratio of 4:1, 10-15 min prior to surgery. This ensured adequate decongestion, mucosal anaesthesia, easy access and a bloodless field. 2% lidocaine hydrochloride with 1:100,000 adrenaline was injected submucosally into lateral nasal wall, superior and anterior to the attachment of middle turbinate and then along the maxillary line.

The incision for the mucosal flap begins 5 mm above the insertion of the middle turbinate and is brought horizontally forward 5 mm anterior to the middle turbinate. It is taken vertically down to just above the insertion of the inferior turbinate before taking it posteriorly up to the insertion of the uncinate process. (Fig. 1) The mucosal flap is elevated exposing the junction of the hard frontal process of the maxilla and the thin soft lacrimal bone. The lacrimal bone was peeled off the inferior half of the lacrimal sac. Frontal process of the maxilla which overlies the inferior part of the lacrimal sac was removed by straight and upturned Kerrison punch. In the upper part bone becomes too thick to remove by the punch.

As we did not have micro drill, 2 mm curved osteotome was very useful here. The medial wall of the sac is then tented with a Bowman’s probe and incised vertically to create a small anterior and larger posterior flap. Lacrimal syringing was done. Small horizontal cuts are made in these flaps superiorly and inferiorly so that they can be reflected onto the lateral nasal wall without any tension. Once the lacrimal sac flaps have been positioned on the lateral nasal wall the nasal mucosal flap is trimmed into a “C” shape. (Fig. 2) It forms a superior and inferior flap extending anteriorly from the posterior hinge. When reflected back onto the lateral nasal wall the “C” shape of the nasal mucosal flaps fits around the opened lacrimal sac so that the mucosal edges are closely approximated. (Fig. 3) Surgical site was covered with small pieces of absorbable gelatin sponge soaked in Feracrylum solution to hold the flaps in position and to ensure hemostasis. (Fig. 4) Usually, conventional nasal packing was not done except in 5 cases where apprehension of postoperative bleeding was there.

**Postoperative care and follow-up**

Postoperatively, 5 days of oral antibiotics and a topical mixture of antibiotic and steroid eye drops for 1 month were prescribed. Nose drops containing 0.02% hydrocortisone and 0.025% naphazoline nitrate was also advised for 2 weeks. Irrigation of the nasal cavity with saline nasal spray was advised to prevent crust formation. It can be easily made at home by mixing 2 pints of common salt and 2 pints of baking soda in
180 ml of boiled water. Follow-up reviews were done 1 week, 2 weeks, 1 month, 3, 6, and 12 months after operation. At each follow-up appointment, a nasal endoscopic examination was performed to assess the wound healing and to remove crusts and granulations if any. Lacrimal irrigation was used to confirm the patency of ostium. Surgical success was defined as absence of epiphora and purulent discharge together with a patent lacrimal system, one year postoperatively.

Results

Over 4 years we operated on 106 patients. But 14 patients were lost to follow-up. So our study includes 92 patients. Among them 40 were male and 52 were female. Their age ranged from 28 to 64 years. There were 3 cases of revision endoscopic dacryocystorhinostomy. One patient presented with nasolacrimal duct obstruction due to gunshot injury. The neo-ostium was well healed and free flow of water was seen in 90 cases postoperatively. (Fig. 5) In one case there was ostium fibrosis and in another case granulation was seen. In 5 cases synechia was seen between middle turbinate and septum which didn’t affect the outcome. So the success rate of our series was 97.83%.

Discussion

Since the early 1990s endoscopic dacryocystorhinostomy has grown in popularity. It has several advantages over external dacryocystorhinostomy like avoiding facial scar, preservation of medial canthal ligament as well as pump action of lacrimal sac. It has minimal morbidity and less risk of intraoperative bleeding. It also enables direct access to the rhinostoma site, reducing tissue injury. Other nasal pathologies like deviated nasal septum or nasal polyp if present can be addressed in the same sitting. It can also be performed during acute dacryocystitis.

The main cause of surgical failure in endoscopic dacryocystorhinostomy is formation of granulation tissues or synechiae at the operative site. It is more pertinent in Asian patients with a low nasal bridge. This is because that the height and length of the nasal bone has a negative correlation with the thickness of the frontal process of the maxilla. Nevertheless, creating a large bony ostium requires extensive removal of the frontal process of the maxilla during endoscopic dacryocystorhinostomy. It is therefore inevitable that a portion of the bone at the frontal process of the maxilla will remain exposed at the completion of the operation. So healing occurs by secondary intention. This leads to formation of granulation tissue and scar tissue...
around the ostium, resulting in failure of endoscopic dacryocystorhinostomy. Therefore, it is very important to perform mucosal flap technique to cover bared bone during endoscopic dacryocystorhinostomy for Asian patients. A number of different techniques were used to minimize incidence of granulation tissues or synechiae formation and to improve surgical results. These are use of silicone stents, application of mitomycin C (Table I).

Role of silicone stents in minimizing nasal synechiae is still controversial. Some studies claim good post-operative results by use of stents. Sharma reported a success rate of 88.5% in his 165 patients using silicon stents. Sprekelson reported success with endoscopic DCR with stent in 85% patients. Kakkar reported 85 to 90% success with stent and nearly same success rate without stent. 10 Gunn et al reported 85.7% success rate with use of silicone stents and 87.5% in patients without stents. Smirkov et al, in their recent study, have even demonstrated granulation tissue formation due to prolonged use of silicon tubes. So, they recommend to avoid silicon tubes for better post-operative results. Ray et al found no significant difference in the final outcome with or without stent. They suggested that, silicone stents might help in post-operative clearance of crusts, clots and identification of the inner ostium during endoscopic examination or other endonasal maneuvers, if warranted, during post operative follow up.

Mitomycin C, derived from Streptomyces caespitosus, is an alkylating antibiotic. It reduces fibroblast collagen synthesis by inhibiting DNA dependent RNA synthesis and can suppress cellular proliferation in any period of the cell cycle. When used in endoscopic dacryocystorhinostomy, it reduces fibrous adhesion between the osteotomy site and nasal septum and also inhibits scarring around the opening of the common canaliculus. Its effect in glaucoma filtering surgery and pterygium excision has been well established clinically.

Controversy exists regarding the efficacy of adjunctive low dose mitomycin C during lacrimal surgery for adults with blocked nasolacrimal ducts. Muhammad Umar Farooq et al demonstrated a 15% improvement in results using mitomycin C (93.3%). But other studies have shown that use of mitomycin C at the operative site did not improve success rate significantly. Zilelioglu et al found that the success rate
in the mitomycin C treated group was 77.3%, whereas in the untreated group it was 77.8%.\textsuperscript{17} Roozitalab et al said that use of intraoperative mitomycin C doesn’t change the success rate.\textsuperscript{30} Farahani et al showed that patients with nasolacrimal duct obstruction who underwent endoscopic dacryocystorhinostomy didn’t benefit from adjunctive topical application of mitomycin C.\textsuperscript{31} Mitomycin C induced complications reported in glaucoma filtration or pterygium surgery included dry eye, superficial punctate epitheliopathy, punctal stenosis, corneal and scleral melt, maculopathy, wound infection and leak and endophthalmitis. The optimal dosage and exposure time of mitomycin C is controversial.\textsuperscript{31}

On the contrary, mucosal flap technique is easy, safe and doesn’t incur additional costs. Here wound edges are brought together so that they are approximated. It allows the edges of wound to heal by primary intention fairly rapidly. It minimizes scarring. When done perfectly it

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TECHNIQUE</th>
<th>AUTHOR</th>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>NO. OF PATIENTS</th>
<th>SUCCESS RATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CONVENTIONAL</td>
<td>Kakkar et al\textsuperscript{10}</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mudhol et al\textsuperscript{11}</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Naik et al\textsuperscript{12}</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>89.53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jain et al\textsuperscript{13}</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>83.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shah et al\textsuperscript{14}</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>92.30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| SILICON STENTS     | Sharma et al\textsuperscript{15} | 2008 | 165             | 88.5%        |
|                    | Kakkar et al\textsuperscript{10} | 2008 | 20              | 85%          |
|                    | Zuercher et al\textsuperscript{16} | 2011 | 84              | 85.7%        |
|                    | Naik et al\textsuperscript{12} | 2012 | 66              | 89.39%       |
|                    | Shah et al\textsuperscript{14} | 2013 | 90              | 93.33%       |

| MITOMYCIN C        | Zilelioglu et al\textsuperscript{17} | 1998 | 22              | 77.3%        |
|                    | Ghosh et al\textsuperscript{18} | 2006 | 30              | 80%          |
|                    | Mudhol et al\textsuperscript{11} | 2012 | 30              | 97%          |
|                    | Jain et al\textsuperscript{13} | 2013 | 30              | 90%          |
|                    | Farooq et al\textsuperscript{19} | 2013 | 82              | 93.3%        |

| MUCOSAL FLAP       | Shan et al\textsuperscript{20} | 2012 | 120             | 98%          |
|                    | Sonkhya et al\textsuperscript{21} | 2008 | 218             | 92%          |

| Present study      | 2014 | 92              | 97.83%        |
gives near 100% success. Our study proves it.

Conclusion

For the past few decades many approaches have been tried for endoscopic dacryocystorhinostomy. But the long term success rates have not been fully satisfactory. The technique we describe involves creation of a large ostium, creation and apposition of nasal and lacrimal sac mucosal flaps. When done properly it gives near 100% success. The procedure is simple and cost-effective because it does not require sophisticated equipment such as silicone stents or mitomycin C.

References


