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ABSTRACT
Maxillofacial prosthesis currently finds itself experiencing more change than at any other time over past 50 years of its recognized existence. Rehabilitation of facial defect, either congenital or acquired, is a difficult challenge for the surgeon as well as prosthodontist. The prosthodontist is limited by the properties of the materials available for facial restorations, the mobility of soft tissue surrounding the defects, the difficulty of establishing retention for large prosthesis, and the patient ability to accept the outcome. However, the acceptance of prosthesis recently has improved remarkably due to better coordination between surgeon and prosthodontist and introduction of dental implant which has dramatically improved the retention and esthetic that result from accurate and repeatable positioning of the implant, and the ease of maintenance. Dramatic improvement in the acceptance of prosthesis is seen if the prosthodontist participation can begin early in the course of patient care which ultimately will increase the quality of life (QoL) of the patient.
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Maxillofacial prosthetics is a subspecialty of prosthodontics that involves rehabilitation of patients with defects or disabilities that were present when born or developed due to disease or trauma. Maxillofacial prosthodontics are accustomed to working co-operatively with ENTs, Oral Surgeons, Neurologists, Radiation Oncologists, Speech Pathologists, Anaplastologists, and various ancillary personnel. The overall goal of all maxillofacial prosthetic treatment is to improve the quality of life. Some common examples of prosthetic treatment are:

A) EXTRA ORAL PROSTHESIS
(i) Ocular Prosthesis (Replaces Eyes)
(ii) Orbital Prosthesis (Replaces Eyes & Surrounding tissues)
(iii) Auricular Prosthesis (Replaces Ear)
(iv) Nasal prosthesis (Replaces Nose)
(v) Mid facial prosthesis (replaces part of the face)
(vi) Somatic prosthesis (replaces a body part like fingers, hands, etc.)
(vii) Radiation shield

B) INTRA ORAL
(i) Immediate or surgical obturator¹: Supports the surgical packing in resection cavity (Figs.1a, 1b)
(ii) Interim obturator²: Restores teeth and gums and has an extension which causes the defect to close.
(iii) Definitive obturator: Delivered after complete healing and remodeling to tissue.
(iv) Palatal lift prosthesis: Helps soft palate assume correct position for speech.
(v) Palatal augmentation (drop) prosthesis: Alter palate prosthetically for speech.
(vi) Mandibular Resection Prosthesis
(vii) Fluoride carrier: Helps to strengthen, protect and preserve compromised teeth.

Among all the above prosthesis discussed, the maxillary
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defect prosthesis is most frequently constructed and it presents an unlimited variety of possible configuration. Surgical defects were most frequent type of maxillary defect seen accounting for 89.5% of the case while congenital and traumatic defects accounted for 5.3% each. Malignant tumors were main indication for surgery (76.5%) of which squamous cell carcinoma constituted 84.6% and adenocystic carcinoma (15.4%).
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Fig. 1.a: Surgical obturator placed on cast with holes for fixation.

Fig. 1.b: Surgical obturator placed in mouth after resection.

Fig. 2: Non skin lined defect showing poorly keratinized epithelium not suitable for prosthesis engagement.

If properly planned the rehabilitation of maxillary defect is so effective that reconstructive surgery is not needed. However in total palatpectomy defect surgical reconstruction followed by placement of dental implant and dental implant retained prosthesis is required.

Prosthodontist face many challenges and the main objectives are:
1. To restore the function: Speech, respiration, chewing, and deglutition.
2. To restore the form: Facial appearance.
3. Separation between oral and nasal cavities to restore normal function of speech, respiration, and deglutition.
4. To provide support to the soft tissue to restore the mid-facial contour and an acceptable aesthetic results.
5. To provide support for the orbital contents to prevent ophthalmic complications such as enophthalmos and diplopia.

The challenges faced by the prosthodontist can be better managed if pre-surgical planning and coordination with concerned surgeon is executed properly keeping in mind the final restoration of defect. Some alterations at surgery to enhance the prosthetic prognosis are as follows:

1.(i) Skin grafting the defect- In radical maxillectomy defects skin grafting the inside of the check flap creates a divergent lateral wall which when engaged by the obturator prosthesis, facilities retention, stability and support. The non-skin lined surface epithelializes spontaneously and is lined with poorly keratinized epithelium which is not suitable to engage the obturator and withstand the abrasion trauma associated with obturator use. (Fig. 2)

(ii) The scar band at the skin graft mucosal junction creates an undercut superior to this junction. Engagement of the undercut with obturator prosthesis facilitates
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Retention on the defect side.

(iii) Skin lined defects provide keratinized surface in the defect that can be engaged more aggressively with the prosthesis thereby improving stability, retention and support for the obturator prosthesis.

2. Large defects should not be closed surgically and access to the defect should be maintained to be restored by obturator fabrication.

3. While resecting maxilla effort should be made to preserve the premaxilla. Retaining premaxilla on the defect side allows for more favorable obturator design which helps in improved retention, stability and patient compliance. (Fig.3)

Fig.3: Effort should be to preserve the premaxilla region.

Fig.4: Sufficient amount of bone present around the abutment tooth.

4. Abutment teeth adjacent to the defect are subjected to the greatest stress and bony cuts through the alveolus to these teeth should be interproximal rather than intraseptal to preserve sufficient amount of bone around the tooth.8 (Fig.4)

5. Soft palate resection- Middle third of the soft palate is responsible for palate elevation (levator veli palatini) during velopharyngeal closure. In partial edentulous patients when the middle third is resected for tumour control the remaining posterior third should also be resected. This will ensure appropriate access to the residual velopharyngeal musculature.

6. Placement of dental implants can have a dramatic effect on the function of the maxillofacial prosthesis.8,9 Masticatory performance may be restored to presurgical levels in some patients10 and with improved retention of the obturator prosthesis, speech and swallowing should be more efficient.

The forms of maxillofacial prosthesis are divided broadly into 4 categories11:

(i) the maxillofacial prosthesis of solid obturator
(ii) maxillofacial prosthesis with open type obturator
(iii) Maxillofacial prosthesis with open type obturator with removable lid
(iv) Maxillofacial with hollow obturator. (Figs.5a,5b) (Table:1)

Fig.5.a: Hollow bulb obturator
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Table I: A comparative evaluation of each form of obturator

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FORM OF OBTURATOR</th>
<th>FEATURE OF FORM</th>
<th>ADVANTAGES</th>
<th>DISADVANTAGES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solid obturator</td>
<td>The entire obturator is fabricated of resin used mainly for small defects</td>
<td>Easy Fabrication</td>
<td>Weight is greater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollow obturator</td>
<td>The inside is hollowed out to lightening the weight of the obturator. Conventional method</td>
<td>Lighter in weight than solid obturator</td>
<td>Water and contaminants may invade the inside time consuming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open type obturator</td>
<td>The upper section of the obturator is open</td>
<td>Light weight, Easier to insert. Cleaning is simple</td>
<td>Water and food debris may accumulate in the obturator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open type obturator with removable lid.</td>
<td>The top of the open type obturator has a detachable lid</td>
<td>Periodic cleaning keeps the interior clean</td>
<td>Increase in weight</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig.5.b: Hollow bulb obturator

Conclusion

Rehabilitation of patients with disabilities of the head and neck secondary to acquired and congenital defects is a difficult task, requiring close interaction among a number of health science disciplines. Post surgical defects predispose the patient to hypernasal speech, leakage of food bolus and liquids into nasal cavity, impaired mastication and in some case various degrees of cosmetic deformity. Prosthetic techniques can provide excellent rehabilitation for patients with oral-facial defects.

With the advent of computer-aided design and manufacturing technologies, new surgical and prosthodontic techniques are evolving, when properly executed in a multidisciplinary environment, these methods provide patients with implant supported prosthesis that very effectively and predictably restore form and function. It can bring smile to the despondent patients shooting out their psychological problems aside and bringing them back for daily routine work in the society.
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