# Demographic Profile of Hearing Deficiency in a Peripheral Referral Hospital - A Five Year Study

Amit Chakrabarti,<sup>1</sup> Indranil Sen,<sup>1</sup> Rupam Sinha,<sup>1</sup> Manish Kumar,<sup>1</sup> Rabi Hembrom,<sup>1</sup> Satadal Mandal,<sup>1</sup> Amit Bikram Maiti<sup>1</sup>

#### **ABSTRACT**

This present study is an attempt to study the demographic characteristics of individuals presenting with hearing loss in a peripheral tertiary care hospital using the available database of pure tone audiometry results.

#### Materials and Methods

A retrospective, observational, descriptive study was conducted over a period of 5 years in the Department of ENT of a peripheral tertiary care hospital wherein all subjects having a pure tone audiometry were included in the study. The records of PTA done were analyzed followed by systematic analysis.

#### <u>Results</u>

**Introduction** 

A total of 9790 individuals inclusive of 5566 males and 4224 females were studied. Maximum number of individuals belonged to the age group of 21-30 years. Nearly 63% of total subjects suffered from Bilateral hearing loss. Sensorineural hearing loss was most prevalent type of loss. Mild degree of loss was most prevalent. Adolescents and young adults comprised the bulk of the study population. Sensorineural hearing is the most common type. Bilateral hearing loss is more frequent. Mild degree of loss is most frequent.

#### **Conclusion**

This study emphasized the 'Composite parameter distribution' in addition to the classical single parameter demographic profile of deafness in a remote tertiary care hospital. Adolescents and young adults comprised the bulk of the study population. Sensorineural hearing is the most common type. Bilateral hearing loss is more frequent. Mild degree of loss is most frequent. <u>Keywords</u>

Audiometry, Pure Tone; Hearing Loss; Demography

The ear is a marvellously complex and sensitive organ. Unfortunately, damage to the organ, whether through disease, physical insult, long term exposure to excessive noise, some drugs or simply the effects of aging, can cause the ear to malfunction. The result of malfunction is usually to produce some degree of deafness.<sup>1</sup>

Diseases of the ear have profound effect on the health and quality of life of millions of people around the globe. A significant proportion of cases of hearing loss are due to common ear diseases i.e. Ear wax, External auditory canal infections, Otomycosis, ASOM, CSOM, OME etc, which if diagnosed early and managed properly can significantly reduce the burden of decreased hearing.<sup>1</sup>(Table I)

According to Census 2011 data of India; nearly 1 in every 5 differently capable individuals suffers from

hearing loss.

The ability to communicate is a crucial aspect of human life as auditory sense is very important for communication of any kind. Hearing impairment is a major morbidity which is often undiagnosed and more often unreported in peripheral healthcare centres of our country. For proper feedback and planning regarding future management, a proper database is mandatory which is often lacking in our system. Thus this present study is an attempt to study the demographic characteristics of individuals presenting with hearing loss in a peripheral tertiary care hospital

*1 - Department of ENT, Midnapore Medical College, Midnapore* 

<u>Corresponding author:</u> Dr Rupam Sinha email: rupamsinhaad2@gmail.com

Table 1. Disease load of hearing loss estimated by write (2012)
7328 million (91%) of these are adults (183 million males, 145 million females) and 32 (9%) million of these are children
The prevalence of disabling hearing loss in children is greatest in South Asia, Asia Pacific and Sub- Saharan Africa.
Approximately one-third of persons over 65 years are affected by disabling hearing loss.
The prevalence of disabling hearing loss in adults over 65 years is highest in South Asia, Asia Pacific and Sub-Saharan Africa.

Table I: Disease load of hearing loss estimated by WHO (2012)<sup>2</sup>

using the available database of pure tone audiometry results.

## **Materials and Methods**

The study was conducted in the Department of otorhinolaryngology of a peripheral tertiary care hospital over a period of five years (2012-16). The study design was retrospective, observational and descriptive in nature. Patients presenting with chief complaint of hearing loss, after clinical examination, were subjected to Pure tone audiometry. The records of pure tone audiometry done during the study period underwent systematic analysis following predesigned pretested semi-structured protocol.<sup>3</sup> The study excluded children below 5 years of age, individuals with severe mental or physical disabilities and the individuals clinically established to be malingering. The data was analyzed to study the demographic characteristics of individuals based on different parameters e.g. age, sex, type, extent and severity of hearing loss among the study population. (Refer to Proforma in Annexure 1 and 2)

## Results

A total of 9790 individuals inclusive of 5566 males (56.85%) and 4224 females (43.14%) had a pure tone audiogram done during the study period in our institute and were included in the study after screening. Maximum number of individuals belonged to the age group of 21-30 years (n=1946; 19.87%) followed by 11-20 years (n=1838; 18.77%). (Table II). Altogether a

total of 579 individuals were excluded during screening as per exclusion criteria mentioned above.

The distribution of study population based on age showed similar results between both sexes with 1130 males (20.30%) falling in 21-30 years age group followed by 1002 males (18%) belonging to 11-20 years age group. In females 836 individuals (19.79%) belonged to 11-20 years age group followed by 816

Table II: Tab	ole showing distr	ibution of study
ро	pulation based o	on age

AGE GROUPS	TOTAL	PERCENTAGE
06-Oct	813	8.3
Nov-20	1838	18.77
21-30	1946	19.87
31-40	1506	15.38
41-50	1559	15.92
51-60	1140	11.64
61-70	703	7.18
71-80	236	2.41
>=81	49	0.5
GRAND TOTAL	9790	100 (approx)



Fig. 1. Clustered bar diagram showing distribution of gender according to age groups

individuals (19.31%) in 21-30 years age group. (Fig-1)

Among 9790 individuals, 6157 (62.89%) suffered from bilateral hearing loss [3494 males (62.77%) and 2663 females (63.04%)].

As far the 'Nature of deafness and the laterality is concerned, 4522 individuals (46.19%) had sensorineural hearing deficit in right ear and 4468 individuals (45.64%) in left ear. Conductive deafness was present in 1543 individuals (15.76%) in right ear and 1735 individuals (17.72%) in left ear. Mixed deafness was present in 778 individuals (7.94%) in right ear and 811 individuals (8.28%) in left ear. (NOTE: Remainder of the persons had normal hearing for that ear)

As far as the 'Gender distribution of the deafness' is concerned, 48.79% Males had SNHL in right ear and 48.02% in left ear and conductive hearing loss was present in 12.57% individuals in right ear and 14.39% subjects in left ear. Mixed deafness was seen in 6.91% cases in right ear in 7.74% cases of left ear. Amongst females; 42.75% individuals had SNHL in right ear and 42.49% in left ear. Conductive hearing loss was present in 19.96% individuals in right ear and 22.11% subjects in left ear. Mixed deafness was seen in 8.36% cases in right ear in 8.99% cases of left ear.

The 'Age distribution of Deafness' was as follows-Conductive deafness was greatest amongst individuals of 11-20 years (4.00% in right ear and 4.42% in left ear). Sensori-neural loss was highest in 41-50 years age group in right ear (7.68%) and in left ear (7.55%) was seen 51-60 years age group. Mixed loss has been found to be greatest in 41-50 years age group (1.77% in right ear and 1.93% in left ear).

The 'Degree of hearing loss', as per classification forwarded by Waleed et al,<sup>4</sup>, it was noted as- of the 9790 individuals tested, 2605 (26.6%) individuals had mild hearing loss in right ear and 2716 (27.74%) in left ear. Moderate loss was seen in 1705 (17.41%) in right ear and 1682 (17.18%) in left ear. Moderately severe hearing deficit manifested in 1039 cases (10.61%) of right ear and 1071 cases (10.93%) of left ear. Severe degree of loss was seen in 968 (9.88%) of right ear and 971 (9.91%) of left ear. Profound deafness was found in 527 (5.38%) of right ear and 549 (5.60%) cases of left ear. Remainder of the individuals had normal hearing.

Bengal Journal of Otolaryngology and Head Neck Surgery Vol. 27 No. 1 April, 2019

GENDER & SIDE	MILD HL (25-40 DB)	MODERATE HL (41-55 DB)	MODERATELY SEVERE HL (56- 70 DB)	SEVERE HL (71- 90 DB)	PROFOUND HL (>90 DB)
Males (Right)	25.29%	16.33%	10.68%	10.27%	5.76%
Males (Left)	27.25%	15.97%	10.90%	10.47%	5.64%
Females (Right)	28.33%	18.84%	10.51%	9.37%	4.87%
Females (Left)	28.38%	18.77%	10.98%	9.18%	5.56%

Table III: Degree of hearing loss in relation to gender

Degree of hearing loss showed similar results across both sexes. (Table III)

## Discussion

The disease burden estimations based on sound epidemiological research provide the foundation for appropriate public policy focus and measures for effective management of disease conditions. A significant proportion of cases of hearing impairment (HI) are due to common ear diseases, which if diagnosed early and managed properly can significantly reduce the burden of decreased hearing.

In the present study maximum number of individuals belonged to the age group of 21-30 years followed by 11-20 years and 31-40 yrs. Guleria et al<sup>1</sup> conducted a community based cross sectional study in an urban area where it was found that 29.4% of individuals belonged to the age group of 31-45 years. This correlates well with the age distribution in the present study and also to the fact that the results of this present hospital based study, an institute which caters to both adjacent rural and urban population, can be extrapolated to the general population.1 With increasing life expectancy along with changes in diet and lifestyle; exposure to chronic noise, ototoxic chemicals and drugs is on the rise with consequent rise in old age deafness with up to 40% individuals above 75 years suffering from disabling hearing loss.<sup>5</sup> Deafness due to old age has been found to be up to eight times more common in USA.<sup>6</sup>

Among 9790 individuals in the present study, 6157 (62.89%) suffered from bilateral hearing loss which comprised of 3494 males (62.77%) and 2663 females (63.04%). In the study conducted by Guleria et al, 60% of the male population was found to be suffering from hearing loss.<sup>1</sup> Prevalence of hearing loss in males was found to be greater in the study conducted by Kalpana et al.<sup>7</sup> Even in USA, the prevalence of hearing impairment predominantly affected males.<sup>6</sup> As far as laterality of hearing impairment is concerned, the study conducted by Guleria et al shows no difference between right and left sides but bilateral impairment was more frequently encountered than unilateral hearing loss.<sup>1</sup> In the study conducted by Asghari et al, the prevalence of hearing impairment had no significant association with gender.<sup>8</sup>

Sensori-neural hearing loss was predominant in the present study and also in the study conducted by Guleria et al,<sup>1</sup> whereas conductive pathology dominated in the study by Kalpana et al.<sup>7</sup> This difference can be attributed to the fact that the study by Kalpana et al involved only school going children rather than general population. Mixed deafness affected least number of individuals in all the articles reviewed. In the present study conductive deafness was greatest amongst individuals of 11-20 years; sensori-neural loss was highest in 41-50 years age group. Mixed loss has been found to be greatest in 41-50 years age group.

In the present study (26.8%) individuals had mild hearing loss in right ear and (27.74%) in left ear. Moderate loss was seen in (17.6%) in right ear and (17.37%) in left ear. Moderately severe hearing deficit manifested in (10.6%) of right ear and (10.93%) of left ear. Severe degree of loss was seen in (9.82%) of right ear and (9.82%) of left ear. Profound deafness was found in (5.31%) of right ear and (5.60%) cases of left ear. (Note: The results are the average of both sexes). These findings are in unison with the study conducted by Guleria et al wherein mild to moderate degree of hearing loss predominated across the study population.1 Similar findings were noted amongst the Korean population in the study conducted by Hong et al.9 The study conducted by Goman et al in USA shows highest prevalence of mild degree of hearing impairment which is in concordance with our study.<sup>10</sup> Even the study conducted by Asghari et al on Iranian population revealed most number of individuals with grade 1 hearing impairment with an increase in grade of hearing loss with increasing age.8

#### Conclusion

The demographic profile of the deafness population is sine qua non for any comprehensive planning to address the problem. However, profile of individual parameter e.g. age, gender, type of deafness etc. often does not reflect the actual burden of the problem. In that case, distribution of composite parameters e.g. 'Age related type of deafness' or 'Age related degree of deafness' become more helpful. In the present study, effort has been made to emphasise this aspect of 'Composite parameter distribution' in addition to the classical single parameter demographic profile of deafness in a remote tertiary care hospital.

#### References

- Guleria TC, Mohindroo S, Mohindroo NK, Azad RK. Prevalence and etiology of hearing impairment in urban area of Shimla, Himachal Pradesh, India: a cross sectional observational study. Int J Res Med Sci. 2017 Apr;5(4):1252-5
- Mortality and burden of diseases and prevention of Blindness and Deafness WHO, 2012. WHO global estimates on prevalence of hearing loss
- Wilson, David H., 1942- & Centre for Population Studies in Epidemiology (S.A.) 1998, Hearing impairment in an Australian population, Centre for Population Studies in Epidemiology, Dept. of Human Services, [Adelaide]

- Waleed B. Alshuaib, Jasem M. Al-Kandari and Sonia M. Hasan (December 2nd 2015). Classification of Hearing Loss, Update On Hearing Loss, Fayez Bahmad Jr., IntechOpen, DOI: 10.5772/61835. Available from: https://www.intechopen.com/ books/update-on-hearing-loss/classification-of-hearing-loss
- 5. Varshney S. Deafness in India. Indian J Otol. 2016; 22:73-6
- Holt J, Hotto S, Cole K. Demographic aspects of hearing impairment: Questions and answers. Third Edition. Washington, DC: Gallaudet University; 1994
- Kalpana R, Chamyal PC. Study of prevalence and aetiology of the hearing loss amongst school going children. Indian Journal of Otolaryngology and Head & Neck Surgery. 1997; 49(2):142-4
- Ashgari A, Farhadi M, Daneshi A, et al. The Prevalence of Hearing Impairment by Age and Gender in a Population-based Study. Iranian Journal of Public Health 2017; 46(9):1237-46
- Hong JW, Jeon JH, Ku CR, Noh JH, Yoo HJ, Kim DJ. The prevalence and factors associated with hearing impairment in the Korean adults: the 2010-2012 Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (observational study). Medicine 2015; 3;94(10): e611
- Goman AM, Lin FR. Prevalence of Hearing Loss by Severity in the United States. Am J Public Health 2016 Oct; 106(10):1820-2.

## Acknowledgment

The authors acknowledge the contribution of the Audiometry assistant, MMC&H. Mrs. Namita Samanta for taking the pains of maintaining the Audiometry Data for the last few decades in order.

34

## **ANNEXURE 1**

Proforma of Recording the Audiological Evaluation used in our study

- 1. Name:
- 2. Age:
- 3. Sex:
- 4. Type of Hearing Loss

- a. Right ear: Conductive/Sensori-neural/mixed
- b. Left ear:Conductive/Sensori-neural/mixed
- 5. Degree of Hearing Loss
- a. Right ear: Mild/Moderate/Moderately severe/ Severe/Profound

b. Left ear: Mild/Moderate/Moderately severe/ Severe/Profound

6. Unilateral/Bilateral Hearing Loss



Bengal Journal of Otolaryngology and Head Neck Surgery Vol. 27 No. 1 April, 2019

URE I

## ANNEXURE 2

(Proforma used in Reference Number 3)

Main Lifetime Occupation:	Name:							_ 1	Date of	f Birt	th:									
This audiogram shows: eff Right [] Normal hearing across all frequencies [] [] Sensorine ural hearing loss [] [] Conductive hearing loss [] [] Mixed hearing loss [] [] [] More thearing loss [] [] [] Better ear [] [] [] Worse ear [] Symmetrical [] [] Worse ear [] Symmetrical [] Consider hearing aid fitting [] Consider hearing audit fitting [] Consider hearing [] Consider	Main Lifeti	me Occuj	pation: _								-	s	ex: ]	∕I/F						
Hearing Threshhold Levels     Ear   250   500   1000   2000   3000   4000   6000   8000     Left air	This audiog Left Rig ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ Dverall degg L ] Mil R] Mil In Left Rig ] [ ] [ ] Syr Date:/ Date:/ Recommend Keak Cons Con	ram show ht ] Nor freq ] Sen ] Cor ] Min ree (d/Moder (d/Moder ilateral/B ht ] Bet ] Bet ] Wo numetrical dations itor hearing further a sider hear act G.P. sider hear r	ng levels protection ng levels protection ng levels protection ng spretchi ng	ring acro al hearing l ing loss re/Profot re/Profot s regularl on ical servic fitting investig port servi	y y tces y tces eg B	etter hea	tring Au-	st	Hearing level dB LS.O	-10 2 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 110	250	500 500 Fre X	AU 100		200 200 200 200 z) = Una = Ma = Ma	RAM 0 0 0 mask sked sked	4000 4000 4000 4000 BC Air (	) 80		-10 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 10 10 20
eft air	learing Thi ar	250	Levels 500	1000	2000	3000	4000	6000	8000	0										
bone    dB   Right air    dB   bone       The South Australian Hearing Study (a National Health & Medical Research Council research project) acknowledges the	left air																			
tight air	bone										dB									
bone	light air																			
he South Australian Hearing Study (a National Health & Medical Research Council research project) acknowledges the	bone																			
upport of the AUDIOLOGICAL SOCIETY OF AUSTRALIA INC. Audiologists are University Graduates who have extensive specialist training at Post-graduate level.	The South Autoport of t	Australia he AUDI is are Uni	n Hearin OLOGI iversity (	ng Study CAL SO( Graduates	(a Natio CIETY ( who ha	onal Hea DF AUS ve exten	lth & M TRALIA sive spec	edical R INC. cialist tra	esearch	h Co at Po	ouncil ost-gra	resea	arch :	proj el.	ect)	ack	nov	vledį	ges	the